Class #3

February 24, 2015

Review previous class …

Work through homework together.

Points to note:

1. degree of precision

2. order of notes

3. brevity when possible

4. table title

Table 1. *Relation between College Majors and Performance*

Table 1. *Mean Performance Scores on Test A, Test B, and Test C of Students with Psychology, Physics, English, and Engineering Majors*

Table 1. *Mean Performance Scores of Students with Different College Majors*

5. italicized symbols (using [Reynolds table, “Predictors of Self-Reported Moral Behavior”](file:///H:\GRIPS\Tables%20&%20Figures%20Winter%202015\tables%20figures%20winter%202013\APA%20table%20examples\144_reynolds_2008_new.pdf))

6. Citation format in the notes (cf., regular citation format shown in AWC writing manual that all students received)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 3.*Pattern Matrix for Willingness to Use Technology (Japan 2009 Data)* | | | | |
| Task | mean | *SD* | *t/df* | sig |
| *1. Teaching content meets student needs* | | | | |
| Overall | .67 | .67 | .280 | .280 |
| Student | .63 | .67 |  |  |
| Teacher | .59 | .67 |  |  |
| Level sub-groups |  |  | .322 | .322 |
| Level A | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level B | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level C | .48 | .67 |  |  |
| *2. Teaching content meets student needs* | | | | |
| Overall | .67 | .67 | .280 | .280 |
| Student | .63 | .67 |  |  |
| Teacher | .59 | .67 |  |  |
| Level sub-groups |  |  | .322 | .322 |
| Level A | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level B | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level C | .48 | .67 |  |  |
| *Note.* The secondary loading of ‘test-taking’, although below the cutoff value of .40, is shown to facilitate comparison with structural equation modeling results below. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 3.*Pattern Matrix for Willingness to Use Technology (Japan 2009 Data)* | | | | |
| Task | mean | *SD* | *t/df* | sig |
| *1. Teaching content meets student needs* | | | | |
| Overall | .67 | .67 | .280 | .280 |
| Student | .63 | .67 |  |  |
| Teacher | .59 | .67 |  |  |
| *2. Teaching content meets student needs* | | | | |
| Overall | .67 | .67 | .280 | .280 |
| Student | .63 | .67 |  |  |
| Teacher | .59 | .67 |  |  |
| *Note.* The secondary loading of ‘test-taking’, although below the cutoff value of .40, is shown to facilitate comparison with structural equation modeling results below. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 3.*Pattern Matrix for Willingness to Use Technology (Japan 2009 Data)* | | | | |
| Task | mean | *SD* | *t/df* | sig |
| *1. Teaching content meets student needs* | | | | |
| Level sub-groups |  |  | .322 | .322 |
| Level A | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level B | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level C | .48 | .67 |  |  |
| *2. Teaching content meets student needs* | | | | |
| Level sub-groups |  |  | .322 | .322 |
| Level A | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level B | .55 | .67 |  |  |
| Level C | .48 | .67 |  |  |
| *Note.* | | | | |

HW: If you have not sent me an email, please do so. I will send your next homework assignment to you ☺